# Syllabus for Volunteer Interviewers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 11</td>
<td>Orientation</td>
<td>Mrs. Dana Kline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Explanation of Video Archive of Holocaust Testimonies at Yale.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 18</td>
<td>Historical overview</td>
<td>Dr. Rita Botwinick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Roots of anti-semitism in pre-war Germany.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 25</td>
<td>Jewish life in Poland</td>
<td>Dr. Nechama Tec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;Images Before My Eyes&quot;. A movie about Polish Jewry 1864-1939.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 2</td>
<td>Interviewing Holocaust Survivors</td>
<td>Dr. Dori Laub</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;Breaking the wall of silence&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 9</td>
<td>Survivors address class</td>
<td>To be announced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discussion of life in the ghetto and camp.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 16</td>
<td>Interviewing Techniques</td>
<td>Ms. Laurel Vlock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The art of listening</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 23</td>
<td>Anatomy of an interview</td>
<td>Kline, Laub, Vlock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Video tapes used to sensitize students to issues of Holocaust survivors.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Required Reading**

- *The War Against the Jews 1933-1945* by Lucy S. Dawidowicz
- *Night* by Elie Wiesel
- *The Survivor An Anatomy of Life in a Death Camp* by Terence Des Pres

**Required Interview**


Mrs. Dana Kline, Instructor
Questions

1. Judgement in question
   "How do you feel when you see how much attention - and it's
good attention - the Holocaust receives? Does it make you feel a
little bit angry or resentful that more wasn't done then? or are
you gratified to see this?

   "Did people want to hear what you had to say or did you want
to talk about your experiences once you came to this country?

   "That was a very unkind thing for him to say to you" re:
kapo answering question re: where are our parents

2. Answer in question
   "Were the prisoners young, middle aged or old?" rather than
how old were the other prisoners?

   "Was the reaction of others similar to yours?" - rather than
what was the reaction of others?

   "Did your belief in God help you to survive?" (agendized as
well)
   "Were there provisions in the suitcase?"

3. Inappropriate questions:
   How did you feel?
   What was the worst thing that ever happened to you?

4. Irrelevant question?
   Were you standing close to the person (episode of SS
stepping on woman's foot)

5. Inappropriate responses
   person meets sister - interviewer intervenes - "It was
purely coincidental. - if the interviewer can figure that out, so
can the viewer

6. Question which conveys inaccurate information
   "When was the ghetto established in Amsterdam?

7. Awareness of how many questions you are asking - taking
leadership away from interviewee

8. Small questions (or series of them rather than open ended
which covers them all
   what work did your father do - how many people in your
household- how important was Judaism and religion - what was the
age difference between you and your sister - how old were you
when you sensed danger (all within 3 minute span)

9. Questions with assumptions - So you knew where your father
was rather than Did you know where your father was
11. Agendized question or asking the survivor to make a judgement - what do you think of Germans, Poles, etc. What do you think of the convent at Auschwitz - if these are issues with which they wish to deal, they will bring up the subject.

12. Hearing vs. witnessing -
- Rumors -
Yale Interview

Notes for first session of Refresher course

1. Interviewer preparation
   Encyclopedia Judaica
   Marrus
   map work
   political history - Dawidowicz, Marrus
   camps - Dawidowicz, Gilbert, Marrus, Bauer, E.J.
   specific - Germany - Kristallnacht -
   Poland - Warsaw uprising -
   Hungary - Wallenburg -
   ghetto - Judenrat -
   camps - who liberated and when
   corroborate dates

2. Beginning - 10 minutes prior - focus on survivor only
   MUST GET NAME AND DATE OF BIRTH AND PLACE OF BIRTH

3. Heightened awareness - tension vs. own voice + answer questions in interview - were you aware of the Judenrat? what was your perception of Kapos?

4. Interrupting
   A. use own information when hear something different - non-threatening and non-challenging
   B. clarification - get names, dates, place names
   C. refocus - rambling

5. Making statements - even if asked by interviewee, don't!
   drawing conclusions - "you were afraid." - don't comforting and commenting - never!
   rephrasing or repeating back what survivor has said - don't

6. Bad questions list - JR

7. Difficult moments - start with DK and

8. Knowledge of own agenda and working with it

9. Assignment - look at own interviews and find the following
   A. points of successful intervention
   B. new entry points, if appropriate
   C. places with inappropriate intervention

10. In writing do at least one of the above for group discussion.
Outline for interviewer training - New York - February 5, 1990

Personal Introductions - Dana Kline
name, work, why interested in project

✓ Fortunoff Video Archive - Joanne Rudof
history, affiliate structure, size and scope of collection,
RLIN and publications, research and educational uses,
volunteer aspect

✓ Testimony - Dana Kline
What is it? What it is not, role of interviewee, role of
interviewer, why people chose to give testimony

✓ A-47 "Renee H. Edited Testimony" 3pm - Frances or David

Discussion and Questions

Dynamics of an Interview

A. What happens before - Joanne Rudof
pre-interview administration, pairing of interviewers,
documentation during an interview, release form -

B. How to prepare for an interview - Dana Kline
communication with co-interviewer, appropriate reading
and map work

Lunch - 1/2 hour

C. Interview - Dana Kline
listening, silences, (appropriate and inappropriate
questions,) behaviors and responses, non-agendized
and neutral questions, dates, names and places

D. What happens after - Dana Kline

A-50 "Rabbi Baruch G. Edited Testimony"

Discussion and Questions

Technical viewing combined with critical analysis of interviewer
Joanne Rudof - Peter C. unedited testimony

Discussion and Questions

A-61 "Future Imperfect"
October 21, 1990

Introduction

Fortunoff Video Archive

"Those Who Were There" (A-8)

About Testimony

View edited testimony - Renee H - A-47

An Interview

a. Before the interview

b. Preparing for an interview

c. Interview proper

d. After the interview

View edited testimony - Baruch G - A-50

Choosing not to be an interviewer

October 22, 1990

Reactions and Responses

Viewing an unedited testimony - T-838

starting

visuals

tape change

ending

Edited testimony Rachel G. A- 62

Questions and comments

"Future Imperfect" A-61
January 15, 1993

Dear Friends:

It is hard to believe that two years have gone by since we have met as a group for discussion, evaluation and general intellectual stimulation. We have scheduled a series of three meetings for all our volunteer interviewers since we know if we are not growing and improving, we are not doing the best we can as interviewers. The sessions will be on Monday evenings, February 15, March 1 and March 8. The first two will begin at 7:15 at Joanne’s house (directions enclosed). The third will be at 6:30 and we invite you that early so we can all have dinner together and schmooze a bit before we get to more serious business.

The February 15th session will be a discussion of Larry Langer’s book. We hope most of you own it. If you don’t please call the Archive and we will make arrangements for a loan from those of us who do have it. We ask you each to come with one discussion topic based on your reading of the book: a point with which you disagree; anything which strikes you as an experience, observation or conclusion you have had as an interviewer; a topic, conclusion, premise with which you agree and had not thought of before which you find helpful/illuminating/insightful, etc. Please be prepared for an expanded discussion of your topic with everyone. We will use this session to develop questions for Larry who will join us for the third session.

The March 1st session will be a focused discussion of our experiences as interviewers. We ask you to make arrangements to view at least one of the testimonies for which you have been an interviewer (either visit the Archive or call and make arrangements for it to be sent to you for viewing at home). Choose an example from your interviewing experiences which illustrates a difficulty, what you view as a "mistake" on your part as interviewer, a moment where your role as interviewer facilitated the telling of the story, etc. You may want to bring the testimony with you to illustrate your discussion topic for the group. It is difficult to define exactly, but we would like to be able to do some self-evaluation, constructive criticism and offer ideas and thoughts to each other for improving our work. Please also bring questions about why we do what we do, suggestions for the Archive to change or improve, any way we can offer you additional help and/or support. Again, we will try to develop specific questions for Larry.
The March 8th session will be an after-dinner question and answer session with Larry Langer and his thoughts for us regarding the role of interviewers, improving our interviewing techniques and skills, etc.

We really look forward to this series since the our previous ones were so stimulating. You all bring so much to these discussions that we find them a unique learning experience. Please call the Archive so we know who will be attending.

Affectionately,

Dana (r)  
Dana Kline

Joanne Rudof  
Enc.  
JWR/db
Outline of Refresher Course - Baltimore - 10/20/91

1. Introductory Remarks - Froma Willen
2. Introductory Remarks - Joanne Rudof
   Thanks for Baltimore hospitality, Litmans, extraordinary project - particularly Froma (Secretary wants her to give course to other affiliates) and Netsie, over 100 testimonies received by Yale, quality and commitment, notes during testimony

3. Introductory Remarks - Dana Kline
   Professional volunteer (dress) present professional image
   Time commitment that day - arrive early and allow time to stay with interviewee for coffee, etc. to "debrief" after interview is completed
   Interview preparation - maps, Ency Judaica, political history, book list will be handed out
   Prior to turning on camera - preparation of survivor in terms of exactly what will happen (include information about tape break), focus only on interviewee
   Must get Name, date and place of birth

4. Watch T-743 - Fred O. Directions from JR
   Pass out summary - difference between summary (explain context and purpose) and actual testimony - focus on interview techniques, not narrative (note relationship between narrative and interview techniques and look for that)
   Note: 1. Points of intervention and result of that intervention - anytime interviewers' voices heard. 2. Point(s) where you think intervention should have occurred and did not.

743
1. beginning to a bit after 16 minutes
2. 25 to 33 minutes
3. 39.51 including break
4. 1:03 - 1:08
   1:23 - 1:30
   1:55 to end

During lunch focus on difficult moments you have experienced, problems, concerns and questions for discussion immediately after lunch

PM
1. Discuss difficult moments, concerns, problems and questions (if necessary, DK and JR will discuss their own experiences)

1267 Betty D. Dana will give same directions as for AM watching
1. beginning to 6:20
2. 14 to 28
3. 34 to 43
4. silence to ending (Jr verify time of this prior to Sunday)
Summary - LOOK AT YOUR OWN INTERVIEWS - THERE IS NO MORE IMPORTANT LEARNING EXPERIENCE - also look at others if helpful
Hand outs- stress continuing reading (reading list is not even tip of the iceberg)
Notes for JWR Refresher Course - Baltimore - 10/20/91

Bring handouts -
1. Reading lists
2. Stepping Over Cockleburs
3. Summaries of Fred O. and Betty D.
5. Tapes - Parallel Paths
   T-943 - Fred O.
   T-1267 - Betty D.

6. Books - Larry
   Guide
   Northwestern
   Telling Lives
1. Strengthen historical component
2. Beginning
3. Heighten awareness

Focused - Involved

- Look at own interviews
- Retake + discuss interviews together

Start with DK or JR or both interviews - not fully realized

Send carry 3/4 U-MARC of VanKurck - MARRASS

The plans of VanKurck - CC

1. Find new points
2. Places of inappropriate intervention
3. Successful intervention

Sawyer - training - Yale
The Holocaust is "in." It is difficult to pick up a newspaper in the United States without reading about a Holocaust related topic. There is seldom a week that goes by without at least one book review on the topic in the Sunday New York Times or the New York Review of Books. American films and television broadcasts not only include shows specific to the Holocaust, but totally unrelated shows expropriate the topic, not always appropriately. The United States Holocaust Memorial Museum is the "hot ticket" in Washington, DC. In October, 1997, the new "Museum of Jewish Heritage/A Memorial to the Holocaust" opened in New York City to critical acclaim and with tremendous press coverage. The daughter of survivors noted that opening in the New York Times expressed her malaise.

"When I came to New York in the mid-70's, I often felt alienated from other Jews because of their reactions to my background (suffocating pity, or occasionally, weird hostility). I was also shocked by how little many Jews of my age - even the most educated and sophisticated - knew about the Holocaust.

Then something happened. The Holocaust became the pop metaphor for evil. Seinfeld made Mengele jokes. "Schindler's List" became an international hit movie. And Holocaust museums and memorials began popping up all over, not just in cities with large Jewish populations, like Detroit and Houston, but in..."
more unexpected places like El Paso and Palm Springs.

Of course, over the last 50 years there has been a continuing examination of the Holocaust by scholars, novelists, filmmakers, playwrights, artists, theologians and other intellectuals. But this new populist dissemination was different, with museums often using show-biz techniques to make the difficult information they were presenting accessible to presumably ignorant crowds [...] 1

Instead of feeling gratified to see my family’s secret finally being aired - no, trumpeted - I was dismayed by these clever you-are-there presentations, and by the very idea of the museums themselves. Though the intent, certainly, was to educate and to explore moral choices, weren’t these museums playing to the national obsession with victimization and making Holocaust survivors seem like part of the lineup for Oprah? The appeal seemed prurient, titillating, combining the dark thrill of two popular movie genres, horror and war. Wasn’t this a cheapening of the Holocaust, giving spectators a rush before they moved on to the National Air and Space Museum? 2

Lest I leave you with a false impression, the writer concluded her piece on a very positive note, particularly praising the new museum for the inclusion of testimony. «Survivors’ stories play a central role throughout the museum [...] visitors will [...] hear and see people who lived in the ghettos and camps [...]». However, I do want to address the focus of her malaise, the popularization of the Holocaust. For those of us who have been in the field of Holocaust studies, doing this work quietly, for many years, this new interest is frightening indeed. It is more than distressing to see the simplification of a complex history: to hear intelligent people form opinions concerning Daniel Goldhagen’s book based on the press coverage, never having read the book; to hear about Jerry Seinfeld’s Mengele jokes, which strike me as obscene; to receive inquiries from History Channel staff who are knowingly planning to broadcast a «bad» film about the Holocaust followed by a panel discussion, which they hope may ameliorate the distorted, sentimentalized and inaccurate film.

How, you may ask, is all of this relevant to Holocaust testimonies? We have many responsibilities when we videotape the life story of Holocaust survivors and witnesses. I believe the primary responsibility is to allow the witnesses and survivors to tell their own stories, at their own pace, in a narrative form with which they are comfortable. I believe the imposition of an agenda, even the most well intentioned agenda, can ultimately lead to omissions, distortions - both overt and subtle - and material which can mislead the viewer.

1 Julie SALAMON, «Walls that Echo the Unsayable», New York Times, September 7, 1997, p. 84.
2 Ibid, p. 86.
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Ask, is all of this relevant to nonies? We have many hen we videotape the life of survivors and witnesses. Responsible is to see and survivors to tell, at their own pace, in a way that is completely the imposition of a agenda well intentioned agenda, d to omissions, distortions, and material the viewer.

REMEMBERING SURVIVORS OF THE SHOAH, LONDON AND NEW YORK, 1979, P. 84.

For the last few months, a student doing dissertation research at the Fortunoff Video Archive has shared some of experiences with me. She had previously viewed several dozen videotapes of survivors which were recorded by an orthodox Jewish organization. She found the accounts to be somewhat uniform since the questions were framed to focus almost solely on ritual and holiday observances both before, during, and after the war. This student felt the purpose of recording these testimonies was to confirm that during the Holocaust and after, survivors maintained kashrut, observed holidays, prayed, and kept their faith. The student felt the testimonies not only lacked spontaneity, but did not provide an opportunity for the witnesses to make free associations due to the rapid-fire questions posed by the interviewers. She found a marked contrast to many of the testimonies she viewed at Yale, where the interviewers play a more passive role, and are empathetic listeners who ask questions primarily to clarify the witness narrative or to remind the witness of something forgotten. There is no attempt to force the testimony into a pre-established chronology. Those who talk about holidays or faith do so because it is important to them, not to provide evidence for a pre-established agenda. The former testimony format, skewed by a set of agency-dized questions, does not afford viewers the opportunity to really hear the witnesses' stories, and this is an obvious example of using Holocaust testimonies to meet the needs of a particular group. This does not require an astute viewer to discern.

What worries me more is a subtle agenda which not only surfaces in testimonies, but also documentaries, films, articles, books, and other media. This is the viewer or audience or media need for a happy ending, for something redemptive, for trite lessons, for «closure.» The day following the opening of the New York museum, a reporter described the scene:

«Frail aging arms, many bearing the tattoos branded on them in concentration camps, curled around the supporting arms of children and grandchildren. Together, old and young walked toward the ceremony, each step testimony to the failure of Hitler's plan to annihilate the Jewish people».

The very same article ends with a description of a survivor in the crowd pressing «[...] a sepia-toned picture of a little girl to her cheek, stroking it slowly. 'My little Rochelle,' was all she would whisper. I have great difficulty understanding how the writer can understand the loss of this child, which must be multiplied at least times one million to represent the reality of the murdered Jewish children of Europe, as «[...] the failure of Hitler's plan to annihilate the Jewish people».

A documentary screened on a cable television network this summer showed a contemporary Bar Mitzvah in Berlin, Germany. The narrator's voice-over informs the audience that this family «[...] and countless other Jewish families throughout Europe are a testament to the defeat of Adolf Hitler and the ultimate failure of the final solution». To speak about «the failure of the final solution» flies in the face of the facts. The statistics speak for themselves, as does the title of Raul Hilberg's definitive and seminal work, The Destruction of the European Jews. «For Himmler and the SS it was a glorious achievement. For Hitler exterminating Jews remained the one achievement which neither defeat nor death could deny him, as he reminded the world in his testament of April 1945».

While historians debate motives, historiography, and interpretation, none dispute this. After 1945, European Jewry as it had been, was no more. The fact that individual sur-
This really worries me. The book was written and set in Germany, and the narrator states that his primary matrix for learning about concentration camps is books and film, fictional books and films, this in the place where so many of the crimes took place and the museum sites are the camps themselves. The author assumes that Schindler’s List was actually filmed in the camps, which is not true, although it was filmed in Poland. We should all worry that this so-called information, which becomes part of the «collective imagination» which «knows its way around in [concentration camps]» comes from Hollywood depictions rather than any kind of reality. I am not certain about what can be done to counter this phenomenon, but I hope museums, testimonies which allow the witnesses to tell their stories their own way, thoroughly researched and truthful documentaries, and well-taught units in schools can form some kind of counterbalance.

Too many interviewers and testimony projects have been shaped by the kind of thinking that requires redemption, renewal of faith, a happy ending, triumphalism, heroes. In a recent letter, Holocaust scholar Lawrence L. Langer stated:

«I’ve been writing about the Holocaust for nearly thirty years, watching video testimonies for fifteen, and doing my own interviewing for almost ten, and I have been repeatedly disappointed by Hollywood versions of Holocaus
ty that romanticize the ordeal and a hopeful spin on the story. [...] audience of viewers [...] have g
since the war and have depen
d on media misrepresentations of understandings of the events».

On the video jacket for the movie from Sobibor actors Alan Arkin and Hauer are shown holding guns a
to their heads, as they lead the prisoners out of the death camp. The video jacket states «[...] all ill
and excitement, the bravery and that of the largest successful escape ev from a Nazi concentration camp facts not included on the jacket 250,000 Jews were killed at Sobibic hundred of six hundred prisoners during the uprising, and those who were instantly killed. Of the three hundred who escaped, most were killed by Nazis, and less than fifty survived. The facts give lie to the promotion of the promotion of
to be a heroic tale. Film critic Daniellie Meyman of Schindler’s List in Le Monde:

«We see smoke, and it’s not a cre
um; it’s a train. We see the smok
spote not gas, but water. cadavera we see, we don’t know the people we identify with And that’s not how history goes».

In discussing Hollywood films, N Times critic Caryl Phillips notes it is place whose approach to historically taut subjects so often involves first m
issues, then breaking their trus
rack of commercial expenditure».

Cynthia Ozick, write in the New
about the Anne Frank phenomenon: United States noting:

«The end is missing. The story o
Frank in the fifty years since ‘The
of Young Girl’ was first publis

10 Lawrence L. LANGER, letter to Joshua Greene, September 8, 1997.
13 Ibid, p. 42.
14 Cynthia OZICK, «Who Owns Anne Frank,» The New Yorker, October 6, 1997, p. 78.
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E. L. Langer stated:

In writing about the Holocaust for
thirty years, watching video testi-
movies for fifteen, and doing my own
work for almost ten, and I have
repeatedly disappointed by
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Hollywood versions of Holocaust reali-
ty that romanticize the ordeal and impose
a hopeful spin on the story. [...] [A] large
audience of viewers [...] have grown up
since the war and have depended chiefly
on media misrepresentations for their
understanding of the event.10

On the video jacket for the movie Escape
from Sobibor actors Alain Arkin and Rutger
Hauer are shown holding guns and rifles
above their heads as they lead the other
prisoners out of the death camp. The blurb
on the video jacket states: « [...] all the action
and excitement, the bravery and the courage
of the largest successful escape ever staged
from a Nazi concentration camp » . The
game is included on the jacket are that
250,000 Jews were killed at Sobibor. Three
hundred of six hundred prisoners escaped
during the uprising, and those who did not
were instantly killed. Of the three hundred
who escaped, most were killed by pursuing
Nazis, and less than fifty survived the war.
The facts give lie to the promotional hyper-
bole. Film critic Danielle Meymann wrote of
Schindler's List in Le Monde:

« We see smoke, and it's not a crematoria-
ium; it's a train. We see the showers and
they spout not gas, but water. All the
cadavers we see, we don't know, and all the
people we identify with are saved.
And that's not how history goes. »12

In discussing Hollywood films, New York
Times critic Caryn Phillips notes it is « [...] a
place whose approach to historically impor-
tant subjects so often involves first trivializing
issues, then breaking their truths on the
rack of commercial expediency. »13

Cynthia Ozick, in the New Yorker
about the Anne Frank phenomena in the
United States noting:

« The end is missing. The story of Anne
Frank in the fifty years since 'The Diary
of Young Girl' was first published has
been bowdlerized, distorted, transmut-
ed, traduced, reduced; it has been infant-
tilized, Americanized, homogenized,
sentimentalized, falsified, kitschified, and
in fact, bluntly and arrogantly denied.
 [...] A deeply truth-telling work has been
turned into an instrument of partial truth,
surrogate truth, or anti-truth. [...] The
diary is incomplete, truncated, broken
off - or, rather, it is completed by
Westerbork [...], and by Auschwitz, and
by the fatal winds of Bergen-Belsen. [...] The
litany of blurs - 'a last testament
to the indestructible nobility of human
spirit' - is not more substantial than any
other display of self-delusion. The success
- the triumph - of Bergen-Belsen was pre-
cisely that it blotted out the possibility
of courage, that it proved to be a lastin
t testament to the human spirit's easy
destructibility. [...] Anne Frank's story,
truthfully told, is unredeemed and unre-
deemable.

These are notions that are hard to swallow
- so they have not been swallowed.14

Lawrence Langer, in addressing the
same issue, notes as the diary was adapted for the
stage,

« the systematic elimination of references
to persecution, murder, and gassing [...] until
they had come up with the emphatic
 [...] considered important: 'human
bravery, faith, hope, brotherhood, love,
and self-sacrifice.' This was quite a litany,
considering that all but one of the inhab-
habitants of the Secret Annex were murdered
by the Germans. »15

Those involved in work concerning the
Holocaust must be careful not to fall into the
same pattern: not when we are recording
survivor and witness testimonies; not when
we are teaching this subject; not when
we are making documentaries. We could very
easily shape the testimonies so that the focus
reflects this Hollywood perspective, and it

---

**Footnotes:**

3. ibid, p. 78.
4. Anne Frank, Forward, September 19,
is tempting to do so; after all, everyone prefers a happy ending. But where does that leave the bereaved survivor, struggling to live with horrendous and horrific memories? Where does that leave the six million who did not live, much less "happily ever after?"

Russell Baker, a New York Times columnist, scolds those who look for happy endings when they are completely inappropriate.

"Why everyone suddenly started saying closure is a mystery, but that's what happened. Persons whose children, lovers, next of kin died in the crash of TWA Flight 800 and in the Oklahoma City bombing were said by the news reporters to be seeking closure. [...] The death of someone you love is a dreadful, dreadful thing, and not easily endured. Echoing inside the word "closure," however, is the sound of a door being slammed and sealed, shut against the person whose loss creates your pain. The mourner is trivialized by the suggestion that the sooner he gets over the death, the better. Closure is always made to sound comforting. [...] With all its promise that the most heartbreaking loss need not haunt you forever afterward, closure is a cheerful word." 16

There is no "closure" in Holocaust testimonies: not for survivors, not for witnesses, not for viewers. It is difficult to provide hopeful messages for the young people whom we teach. However, it is incumbent upon us to teach honestly, to acknowledge that survivor testimonies are bleak and that knowing about the Holocaust has not prevented other genocides from occurring while we hopelessly and impotently watch. We do not learn the history of the Holocaust from the testimonies. We learn about survivors and witnesses, about the personal universe of one person, not about universal events. Polish poet Zbigniew Herbert summarizes for me the goal of my study of this history: "I turn to history not for lessons in hope, but to confront my experience with the experience of others and to win for myself something which I should call universal compassion - a sense of responsibility for the human conscience."

What is the point of asking a survivor what lessons are to be learned from their experience? Some will respond with a platitude about teaching the lessons of the Holocaust so "it will never happen again, because they think this is what the interviewer and the audience want to hear. Others will not respond at all, knowing the question reveals such naivete that there is no common understanding with the questioner. If we don't "set up" the survivor, so innocently and yet so insidiously, we hear more honest observations. The following are among some of those observations.

Martin S. was born in Tarnobrzeg, Poland, in 1933. He was incarcerated in Skarżykow Kamen, then Buchenwald. He was liberated by American troops at age twelve, then came to the United States in 1946.

"One of the things I remember as a child coming out, I felt I had to tell the world what was happening. That was the highest priority. So I remember the first few months in a yeshiva, I would see I would tell the kids everything, tell my rabbi. And one day we went on recess. One of the kids got me. We were all in a circle, and "Why don't you tell one of your stories?" And from that day on, '46, '47, I did not say a word I was until five or six years ago.

I would hate to think that my sit is just an academic exercise, because one may be given a grant so that do additional research and there is a living. This is too painful. We must do something to man. Because I'm a very bitter man.

Edith P. was born in Czechoslovakia in 1920. She was in Auschwitz for six or seven and then transferred to Salzwedel as laborer in Germany. She was liberated American troops in 1945, and emigrated to the United States where she married an American physician and had children, parents, and other family member killed. She was videotaped in 1980 she was sixty.

"I have given a great deal of thought to how I should conduct myself with Germans, how I should feel. Should they hate me? Should I despise them? Should I go out with a banner and something against them? I don't know. I never found the answer in my own and I have to go according to my conscience. I cannot conduct my what my husband tells me or my parents, or by what the world has said. Only thing I can say is that I ignore I don't hate them. I can't hate. I would waste a lot of time in my life sometimes I wish, in my darkest times, when you are uprooted and ing up children. I'm talking as a

17 Martin S. Holocaust Testimony (HVT-641). Foner/Video Archive for Holocaust Testimonies, Yale University Library.
18 Edith P. Holocaust Testimony (HVT-107). Foner Video Archive for Holocaust Testimonies, Yale University Library.
19 Besie and Jacob K. Holocaust Testimony (HVT-206). Foner Video Archive for Holocaust Testimonies, Yale University Library.
the genocides from occurring while despotically and impotently watch. We earn the history of the Holocaust testimonies. We learn about survivors, about the personal of one person, not about universal 'liberal poet Zbigniew Herbert summarized the main goal of my study of this - I turn to history not for lessons in it to confront my experience with the experience of others and to win for something which I should call unimpasse - a sense of responsibility human conscience.«

The point of asking a survivor what to learn from their experience will respond with a platitudinous version of the lessons of the Holocaust will never happen again, because if this is what the interviewer and interview want to hear. Others will not at all, knowing the question reveals the taunt that there is no common ground with the questioner. If we don't like the survivor, so innocently and yet wisely, we hear more honest observers. The following are among some of the survivals.

1. I was born in Tarnobrzeg, Poland. He was incarcerated in Skarzysko-Kamienna, then Buchenwald. He was liberated by the American troops in 1945, and emigrated to the United States in 1946.

2. of the things I remember as a child growing up, I had to tell the world as it happened. That was the high

3. So I remember the first few


5. Holocaust Testimonies, Yale

6. Holocaust Testimonies, Yale University

7. Archive for Holocaust Testimonies, Yale

8. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL ON THE AUDIO-VISUAL TESTIMONY

9. months in a yeshiva, I would speak freely. I would tell the kids everything. I would tell my rabbi. And one day we went out on recess. One of the kids got hold of me. We were all in a circle, and he said, 'Why don't you tell one of your bullshit stories?' And from that day on, this was 1946, '47, I did not say a word I would say until five or six years ago.

10. I would hate to think that my sitting here is just an academic exercise, because someone may be given a grant so that he may do additional research and thereby make a living. This is too painful. We must [...] [crying] [...] do something to change man. Because I'm a very bitter man»

11. Edith P. was born in Michalovce, Czechoslovakia in 1920. She was incarcerated in Auschwitz for six or seven months, then transferred to Salzwedel as a slave laborer in Germany. She was liberated by American troops in 1945, and emigrated to the United States where she married an American physician and had children. Her parents and other family members were killed. She was videotaped in 1980, when she was sixty.

12. «I have given a great deal of thought to how I should conduct myself with the Germans, how I should feel. Should I hate them? Should I despise them? Should I go out with a banner and say, do something against them? I don't know. I never found the answer in my own soul, and I have to go according to my own conscience. I cannot conduct myself by what my husband tells me or my children, or by what the world has said. The only thing I can say is that I ignore them. I don't hate them. I can't hate. I feel I would waste a lot of time in my life. But sometimes I wish, in my darkest hours, that they would feel what we feel sometimes, when you are uprooted and bringing up children. I'm talking as a mother and a wife. There is nobody to share your sorrow or your great happiness».

13. Jacob K. was born in Zvolen, Poland in 1923. He was incarcerated in Skarzysko-Kamienna, Buchenwald, and Schleiben, and liberated from a death march in May 1945. He met and married his wife in a displaced persons' camp. He was videotaped in 1983 at age sixty, when he had recently learned his wife had been married before the war and her child taken from her in Kovno.

14. «I can't tell you everything in an interview. I couldn't even describe one day in the ghetto. I don't want to live with that pain, but it is there. It forms its own entity. It surfaces whenever it wants to. I'll go on a train and I'll cry. I'll read something and I'll be right there where I came from. And I can't erase it. I'm not asking for it. It comes by itself. It has formulated something in me. I'm a scared human being [...]».

15. We perceive life as a precious thing. Then Bessie [his wife] gives birth to a child, and a German takes away the child and kills it. What are we, superhuman to brush it aside and tell the world thank you for liberating us? And that's all? We wash our hands clean like nothing ever happened? Like if the Nazis die out, no one is responsible any more. Somebody did it. A maniac did it? Hitler did it? A few Nazis did it? His government? Himmler? Others? And that's all? That's all? I can't make peace with that. Maybe other survivors can. I don't know. I can't. And yet I go on. I'm creative. We're both creative. But that is not the issue. There is another, deeper issue. You cannot brush away the pain by giving something else».

16. Helen K. was born in Warsaw, Poland in 1924. In the ghetto, her father arranged for her to marry a man ten years older than she. He was a baker, and her father believed he would be able to supply Helen with
food. She was one of the last to be deported from the Warsaw ghetto at the end of the uprising. She was deported to Majdanek in May 1943 at age 19, then transferred to Auschwitz a year later. She was one of only about 6,000 to remain in Auschwitz in January 1945, when some 58,000 prisoners were forced onto death marches which few survived. She was liberated by Soviet troops on January 24th, the only survivor of her family. She was reunited with her husband, also the only survivor of his family. She was videotaped in 1979, at age fifty-five.

"You know, the man I married and the man he was after the war was not the same person. I'm sure I was not the same person either when I was at sixteen. But somehow or other, we needed each other. We got along because he knows who I was. You come from nothing. Nobody know you. It's a very strange feeling. You need some contact, some connection. He was my connection. He knew who I was and I knew who he was. And we stuck it out! We're married, I don't know how many years. We had two children. He's very different. He copes differently than how I do. And we're here to tell you the story. I don't know. I don't know if it was worth it. When I was in concentration camp, and even after, I said to myself, 'After the war, people will learn. We will learn.' But did we really learn anything? I don't know."20

If we are willing to really look and listen to Holocaust survivors and to their testimonies, like the above, they allow us to understand, just a bit, what Lawrence L. Langer meant when he titled his book *Holocaust Testimonies: The Ruins of Memory.*

---

20 Helen K. Holocaust Testimony (HVT-38). Fortunoff Video Archive for Holocaust Testimonies, Yale University Library.